

M751 WIND TECHNOLOGY TESTING CETNER EXPANSION

ADDENDA & RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS

2/9/2024

The attention of consultants submitting proposals for the RFQ is called to the following Addenda & Responses to Questions to the subject RFQ. The items set forth herein, whether of omission, addition, substitution, or clarifications are all to be included in and form a part of the proposal submitted.

Addenda:

Please substitute:

"This submission, shall be addressed to Luciana Burdi, Intl. Assoc. AIA, CCM, MCPPO, Director of Capital Programs and Environmental Affairs and received no later than 12:00 Noon on Thursday, February 29, 2023 via Bid Express https://www.bidexpress.com/businesses/27137/home. Any submission which is not received by the deadline shall be rejected by the Authority as non-responsive. Any information provided to the Authority in any Proposal or other written or oral communication between the Proposer and the Authority will not be, or deemed to have been, proprietary or confidential, although the Authority will use reasonable efforts not to disclose such information to persons who are not employees or consultants retained by the Authority except as may be required by M.G.L. c.66."

With:

"This submission, shall be addressed to Luciana Burdi, Intl. Assoc. AIA, CCM, MCPPO, Director of Capital Programs and Environmental Affairs and received no later than 12:00 Noon on Thursday, February 29, 2024 via Bid Express https://www.bidexpress.com/businesses/27137/home. Any submission which is not received by the deadline shall be rejected by the Authority as non-responsive. Any information provided to the Authority in any Proposal or other written or oral communication between the Proposer and the Authority will not be, or deemed to have been, proprietary or confidential, although the Authority will use reasonable efforts not to disclose such information to persons who are not employees or consultants retained by the Authority except as may be required by M.G.L. c.66."

Responses to Questions:

1. <u>SUMMARY QUESTION</u> - Has a delivery method been decided upon for this project? If not, when do you anticipate a decision about the delivery method?

Response: This project's expected delivery method will be MGL Chapter 149a, Construction Manager at Risk.

2. **SUMMARY QUESTION** – Can an attendee list be shared?

Response: This information can be found on Bid Express.

3. <u>SUMMARY QUESTION</u> – Is the preference that an architectural firm serves as the prime firm for this response?

Response: There is no preference that an architectural firm serves as the prime firm. As noted in the Request for Qualifications (RFQ), in order to be eligible for selection, all aspects of Chapter 7C, Section 44 of the General Laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts shall be satisfied including the CEO/President and majority of the firm's Board of Directors or ownership shall be registered in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in accordance with the applicable provisions of the statute. Consultants shall furnish professional registration status of the firm's board of directors or ownership. All individuals responsible for technical disciplines shall, upon commencement of the project, be registered Architects or Engineers, in that discipline, in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

4. <u>SUMMARY QUESTION</u> – Is there a particular DBE percentage goal that must be met for this contract?

Response: Please reference the RFQ for this project in Bid Express.

5. <u>SUMMARY QUESTION</u> – Can Massport make the WTTC Expansion Feasibility Study mentioned during the project briefing available for review during the RFQ process?

Response: The feasibility study performed will be provided to the selected Consultant.

6. <u>SUMMARY QUESTION</u> – As part of this project, does Massport foresee the need for improvements to or expansion of the existing seawall in order to accommodate delivery & maneuvering of the planned larger turbine blades?

Response: The decision to improve or expand the existing seawall will be determined as part of the study being performed by the Consultant for this project.

7. <u>SUMMARY QUESTION</u> – Will Massport be providing the wind testing expert based on the current operations for the facility or will the design team be expected to carry such a specialty consultant?

Response: As noted in the RFQ, Massport is seeking the services of a qualified multidiscipline consulting team with proven experience to provide architectural and engineering services for the Wind Testing Center Expansion Project. It is up to the Consultant to form the team that can best deliver the requested services.

8. <u>SUMMARY QUESTION</u> – Is it the intent to mimic the MEP/FP systems in the original building for the new expansion?

Response: The design consultant's MEP/FP scope of work will be defined in the study phase for this project.

 $H: Engineer \backslash Standard\ Documents \backslash Forms\ and\ Templates \backslash Procurement-Bidding \backslash RFQ-RFP-RFI \backslash RFQ\ Consultant\ -\ Addenda\ and\ Responses\ to\ Questions\ 12-5-2018\ . docx$